dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal statue of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before April 28, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC–200), Petition Docket No. ______, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 7, 1995.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 26237.

Petitioner: MCI Communications. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.611.

Description of Relief Sought: To extend Exemption No. 5332, as amended, which allows MCI Telecommunications to continue to conduct certain ferry flights with one engine inoperative in its Falcon Trijet aircraft without obtaining a special flight permit for each flight.

Docket No.: 28043.

Petitioner: Otis Spunkmeyer Air. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.1(b)(2).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Otis Spunkmeyer Air to conduct nonstop sightseeing flights within a 55 status mile radius of the airport at which such flights begin and end.

Docket No.: 28083.

Petitioner: Western Oklahoma State College.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141.65.

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Western Oklahoma State College to hold examining authority for the flight instructor and airline transport pilot written tests.

[FR Doc. 95–9026 Filed 4–11–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

[Summary Notice No. PE-95-17]

Petitions for Exemption Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or emission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition. **DATES:** Comments on petitions received

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before May 15, 1995.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on April 7, 1995.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 128116.

Petitioner: Argo Air Associates, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

91.851 through 91.875.

Description of Relief Sought: To allow Agro Air Associates, Inc., to be considered the operator of its airplanes for the purposes of compliance with the Stage 3 noise regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 23147

Petitioner: Boeing Commercial Airplane Group

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.515(a)(1)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 4783, as amended, which permits noise measurement tests, Ground Proximity Warning system research and development, and FAA certification tests at altitudes lower than 1,000 feet above the surface.

Grant, March 30, 1995, Exemption No. 4783D

Docket No.: 25552 Petitioner: State of Alaska

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 45.29(h)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5630, which allows persons operating aircraft within, to, or from the State of Alaska to fly their aircraft across the inner boundaries of the Alaskan Air Defense Identification Zone (ADIZ), or the Defense Early Warning Identification Zone (DEWIZ), without displaying temporary or permanent registration marks at least 12-inch high, unless otherwise required by other provisions of the FAR. The amendment, which is denied, would have made this a permanent exemption.

Partial Grant, March 29, 1995, Exemption No. 5630A

Docket No.: 26178

Petitioner: Continental Airlines, Inc. Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.358

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5256, as amended, which extends the date by which Continental Airlines, Inc. (CAL) must install windshear detection equipment in all the aircraft CAL operates. This extension is contingent upon the timely compliance with a Precondition for Effectiveness of Exemption and Margin of Error, which states that this extension will become effective on January 1, 1996, on the condition that at least 188 CAL aircraft of the "Target type of aircraft" are equipped with FAA-approved predictive windshear detection equipment by no later than December 31, 1995.

Docket No.: 26412

Petitioner: The Soaring Society of America

Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.118

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5303, as amended, which allows private pilots to log the flight time accumulated while gliders for the Soaring Society of America chapter members, subject to certain limitations.

Grant, March 16, 1995, Exemption No. 5303B

Docket No.: 27609

Petitioner: M. Shannon & Associates Section of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.9 and 91.531

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To amend Exemption No. 5899, which permits M. Shannon & Associates and the operators of Cessna Citation 500 models (Serial Nos. 0001 through 0349 only) to operate the said aircraft with only one pilot, without a second in command. The amendment affects certain conditions and limitations of the existing exemption.

Grant, March 17, 1995, Exemption No. 5899A

Docket No.: 27750

Petitioner: Trans World Airlines, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.339(a)(3)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit Trans World
Airlines, Inc., to operate in extended
over-water operations with highintensity hand-held (HIHH) strobe
lights in life raft survival kits instead
of pyrotechnic flares.

Denial, March 23, 1995, Exemption No. 6044

Docket No.: 27821 Petitioner: Mr. John Saddler Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.209 (a) and (d)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To allow the Cedar
Rapids Police Department Air
Support Division to operate a singleengine land aircraft and single-engine
piston and turbine-powered

helicopters with their lights turned off for the purpose of covert night surveillance of individuals suspected of involvement in criminal activity. Grant, March 30, 1995, Exemption No. 6048

Docket No.: 27853

Petitioner: Ms. Frances E. Thomas Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141.35(d)(2)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit Ms. Thomas to serve as chief flight instructor at Smith Aero Flight School, administering a course of training other than those that lead to the issuance of a private pilot certificate or rating, or an instrument rating or a rating with instrument privileges, without the required minimum of 2,000 hours as pilot in command. Grant, March 28, 1995, Exemption No.

Docket No.: 27881

6046

Petitioner: TransNorthern Air Service Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(g)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To allow pilots employed by TransNorthern Air Service to perform the preventative maintenance function of removing or installing passenger seats in its aircraft that are operated under 14 CFR part 135.

Grant, January 24, 1995, Exemption No. 6031

Docket No.: 28097

Petitioner: Columbia Helicopters, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 133.19(a)(3) and 133.51

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To allow Columbia
Helicopters, Inc., to conduct externalload operations in the United States
using a Canadian-registered rotorcraft,
specifically, a Boeing Vertol 107.

Grant, March 28, 1995, Exemption No. 6045

[FR Doc. 95–9027 Filed 4–11–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Receipt of Noise Compatibility Program and Request for Review for Saipan International Airport, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program that was submitted for Saipan International Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Public Law 96–193) (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") and 14 CFR part 150 by the Commonwealth Ports Authority. This program was submitted subsequent to a determination by the FAA that the associated noise exposure maps submitted under 14 CFR part 150 for Saipan International Airport were in compliance with applicable requirements effective February 14, 1994. The proposed noise compatibility program will be approved or disapproved on or before September 25, 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the start of FAA's review of the noise compatibility program is March 29, 1995. The public comment period ends May 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Welhouse, Airport Engineer/ Planner, Honolulu Airports District Office, Federal Aviation Administration, Box 50244, Honolulu, Hawaii 96850. Telephone 808/541–1243. Street Address: 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, room 7116, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813. Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for Saipan International Airport which will be approved or disapproved on or before September 25, 1995. This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The FAA has formally received the noise compatibility program for Saipan International Airport, effective on March 29, 1995. It was requested that the FAA review this material and that the noise mitigation measures, to be implemented jointly by the airport and surrounding communities, be approved as a noise compatibility program under section 104(b) of the Act. Preliminary review of the submitted material indicates that it conforms to the requirements for the submittal of noise compatibility programs, but that further